

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,794	05/04/2001	Adrian Boariu	042933/302745	7888
826 ALSTON & Bl	826 7590 01/30/2007 ALSTON & BIRD LLP			INER
	ERICA PLAZA	ZHENG, EVA Y		
	101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
		·		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/30/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	
٨٨	1	)
	_	_

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/848,794	BOARIU, ADRIAN
Examiner	Art Unit
Eva Yi Zheng	2611

•	LAUMMICI	Air our					
	Eva Yi Zheng	2611					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following							
time periods: a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL  2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on	diana with 27 OFP 44 27 much by	EL 1 202 4 4					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	will <u>not</u> be entered be TE below);	ecause				
<ul><li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belown)</li><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in betometers.</li></ul>		duaina ar ainmilé in a	u				
appeal; and/or	ter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ine issues for				
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1)							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	<ol><li>See attached Notice of Non-Co</li></ol>	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			_				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)   how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wil vided below or appended.	I be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5,11-15</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		*					
8. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but	3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and						
2. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a							
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:							
•							

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new claimed subject matters: "decoder futher for detecting values of symbols of which the space-time encoded data is formed" was never before presented. They raise new issues and would require further consideration and/or search.

CHIEH M. FAN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER